



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,193	08/20/2003	Prakasa Alim	10290.00003	5714
22908	7590	05/06/2005	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3000 CHICAGO, IL 60606			GROSSO, HARRY A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/644,193

Applicant(s)

ALIM, PRAKASA

Examiner

Harry A. Grosso

Art Unit

3727

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/6/03.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 4-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ulam (4,646,935).

3. Regarding claims 4 and 5, Ulam discloses a cooking utensil comprising a first layer of stainless steel (40, Figure 8, column 3, line 8), a second layer of aluminum and steel (20, 31', Figure 8 and column 3, lines 6-7) and a third layer of stainless steel (21, Figure 8 and column 3, line 1), with the layers extending continuously along an annular wall and a horizontal portion of the utensil (column 2, lines 52-54). Ulam further discloses that a fourth exterior layer of copper may be used over the third layer (21, column 4, lines 48-49). The examiner considers the phrase "formed by copper plating" to constitute a product by process limitation that does not materially affect structure.

4. Regarding claims 6, 7 and 10, Ulam discloses a cooking utensil comprising a first layer of stainless steel (40, Figure 8, column 3, line 8), a second layer of aluminum and steel (20, 31', Figure 8 and column 3, lines 6-7) and a third layer of stainless steel (21, Figure 8 and column 3, line 1), with the layers extending continuously along an annular wall and a horizontal portion of the utensil (column 2, lines 52-54) formed from roll bonded layers of metal.

5. Regarding claim 9, Ulam discloses a cooking utensil comprising a first layer of stainless steel (40, Figure 7, column 3, lines 57-60), a second layer of aluminum and steel (20, 31', Figure 7 and column 3, lines 57-60) and a third layer of copper (21', Figure 7 and column 3, lines 57-60), with the layers extending continuously along an annular wall and a horizontal portion of the utensil (column 2, lines 52-54).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ulam in view of Bhagat (6,422,233).

8. Regarding claims 1-3, Ulam discloses a cooking utensil with an annular wall and a horizontal portion (Figure 1) comprising a first layer of stainless steel (40, Figure 8, column 3, line 8), a second layer of aluminum and steel (20, 31', Figure 8 and column 3, lines 6-7) and a third layer of stainless steel (21, Figure 8 and column 3, line 1), with the layers extending continuously along an annular wall and a horizontal portion of the utensil (column 2, lines 52-54). However, Ulam does not teach the use of a plurality of perforations in the third layer through which some of the second layer extends. Bhagat discloses that it is known in the art to make perforations in an outer stainless steel plate to allow the aluminum to extend through the plate (Figure 1, column 1, lines 16-18), using elliptical or round perforations (column 2, lines 4-8 and 34) thus improving the

thermal conductivity of the base of the utensil. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of perforations in an outer stainless steel plate to allow the aluminum to extend through the plate using elliptical or round perforations as disclosed by Bhagat in the utensil disclosed by Ulam to improve the thermal conductivity of the base of the utensil.

9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ulam.

Ulam discloses a cooking utensil having a first layer of stainless steel, a second layer of aluminum and a third layer of copper, as discussed in paragraph 5 above, showing the combination of these metals for a cooking utensil is known in the art. Therefore, it would have been an obvious design choice to invert the order of the layers to produce the cooking utensil with a copper first layer, an aluminum second layer and a stainless steel third layer.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Spring (5,952,112) discloses a multi-layer cooking utensil.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry A. Grosso whose telephone number is 571-272-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Lee Young
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3727

hag